

SOURCE OF OXYGEN IN THE CO<sub>2</sub> PRODUCED DURING  
CHEMILUMINESCENCE OF FIREFLY LUCIFERYL-ADENYLATE  
AND RENILLA LUCIFERIN<sup>1</sup>

M. DeLuca, M. E. Dempsey, K. Hori and M. J. Cormier

Dept. of Chemistry, University of California, La Jolla, Ca.  
Dept. of Biochemistry, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minn.  
Bioluminescence Laboratory, Dept. of Biochemistry, University  
of Georgia, Athens, Georgia

Received January 14, 1976

**SUMMARY.** The chemiluminescent reactions of firefly luciferyl-adenylate and Renilla luciferin utilize molecular oxygen and produce CO<sub>2</sub>. If the reactions are allowed to proceed in the presence of <sup>18</sup>O<sub>2</sub>, no incorporation of 18-oxygen is detected in the CO<sub>2</sub>. This is true over a wide range of substrate concentrations (0.03 μmoles - 6 μmoles). If H<sub>2</sub><sup>18</sup>O is added to the reaction medium there is incorporation of 1 oxygen-18 into the CO<sub>2</sub>. These results are consistent with the oxygen-18 labelling observed with the bioluminescent reactions.

The bioluminescent reactions catalyzed by luciferases from firefly, Renilla and Cypridina all utilize molecular oxygen and produce CO<sub>2</sub> as one of the products (1, 2, 3). Experiments have been done with each of these systems in an effort to determine the source of oxygens in the CO<sub>2</sub>. We have reported that with firefly bioluminescence and chemiluminescence as well as Renilla bioluminescence there is no incorporation of oxygen - 18 into CO<sub>2</sub> when the reactions are allowed to proceed in the presence of <sup>18</sup>O<sub>2</sub> (3, 4, 5). Results obtained with the Cypridina luciferase are different in that molecular oxygen is incorporated into the CO<sub>2</sub> (6). Shimomura and Johnson have reported that

<sup>1</sup>This research was supported by grants from the National Science Foundation.

non-enzymatic exchange of  $\text{CO}_2$  and  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  becomes significant when less than 1  $\mu\text{mole}$  of  $\text{CO}_2$  is produced in several ml of water (7). They have also examined the effect of various buffers and pH's on the non-enzymatic exchange (8). Since the isotope incorporation data is very important in establishing the mechanism for the creation of the excited state product, we have repeated and extended our studies on the firefly and Renilla systems to eliminate any possible artifacts due to a non-enzymatic exchange.

The present studies show that during the chemiluminescence of Renilla luciferin and firefly luciferyl-adenylate in aprotic solvents, the 18-oxygen labelling patterns are consistent with those previously observed during bioluminescence (3, 4).

#### Materials and Methods

Crystalline firefly luciferin was synthesized according to the method of Seto et al (9). Luciferyl-adenylate ( $\text{LH}_2\text{-AMP}$ ) was synthesized as described by Morton et al (10) and dried at least 1 hour in a dessicator to remove excess acetone. The concentration was determined from the absorbance at 336 nm after chromatography on Sephadex G-25 in 0.01 M Na acetate + 0.04 M NaCl pH 4.5. The molar absorbancy of  $\text{LH}_2\text{-AMP}$  at 336 nm is 15,000 at pH 5 (10). Six  $\mu\text{moles}$  of  $\text{LH}_2\text{-AMP}$  were dissolved in 3 ml of dry DMSO and placed in one side of the reaction vessel. The other side of the vessel contained 0.3 ml of butanol with 0.01 M potassium t-butoxide. When the reaction was run in the presence of  $\text{H}_2^{18}\text{O}$ , 0.1 ml of  $\text{H}_2^{18}\text{O}$  was added to the DMSO. The collection and analysis of the  $\text{CO}_2$  was done exactly as described previously (4, 5). The chemiluminescent reaction was over in less than 15 seconds.

Synthetic Renilla luciferin was prepared as described previously (11). Samples were weighed on a Cahn electrobalance (Model G-2). Varying amounts were dissolved in dry 3 ml DMSO. Other conditions are exactly the same as described above. After mixing, the chemiluminescent reaction was complete in 30 seconds.

$\text{H}_2^{18}\text{O}$  and  $^{18}\text{O}_2$  were obtained from Miles Laboratories, Elkhart, Indiana.

Table 1 Source of oxygens in  $\text{CO}_2$  from chemiluminescent oxidation of firefly luciferyl-adenylate.

<u>Conditions</u>	<u>Light Emission</u>	<u>Oxygens Incorporated in <math>\text{CO}_2</math></u>
(1) Blank + $^{18}\text{O}_2$ (94.2%)	-	0
(2) Blank + $^{18}\text{O}_2$ (94.2%)	-	0
(3) 6 $\mu\text{moles}$ $\text{LH}_2$ -AMP + $^{18}\text{O}_2$ (94.2%)	+	< 0.1
(4) 6 $\mu\text{moles}$ $\text{LH}_2$ -AMP + $^{18}\text{O}_2$ (94.2%)	+	< 0.1
(5) 6 $\mu\text{moles}$ $\text{LH}_2$ -AMP + $\text{H}_2^{18}\text{O}$ (40%)	+	0.65

Samples 1 and 2 contained 6  $\mu\text{moles}$  of decomposed luciferyl-adenylate (see text). There was no detectable  $\text{CO}_2$  produced.

Samples 3 and 4 contained 6  $\mu\text{moles}$  of freshly synthesized luciferyl adenylate and  $^{18}\text{O}_2$ .

Sample 5 contained 6  $\mu\text{moles}$  luciferyl adenylate and  $\text{H}_2^{18}\text{O}$ . For details see Materials and Methods.

### Results and Discussion

Table I shows the results obtained with firefly  $\text{LH}_2$ -AMP in the chemiluminescent reaction. Samples 1 and 2 were controls in which  $\text{LH}_2$ -AMP had been allowed to stand at room temperature, during which time it breaks down to luciferin and AMP. This material was then mixed with tertiary butoxide and butanol in the presence of  $^{18}\text{O}_2$ . There was no light emission and no production of  $\text{CO}_2$ . These experiments rule out the possibility of  $\text{CO}_2$  arising from something other than the chemiluminescent reaction.

In samples 3 and 4, 6  $\mu\text{moles}$  of  $\text{LH}_2$ -AMP were reacted with tertiary butoxide in the presence of  $^{18}\text{O}_2$ . There was a bright light emission lasting about 15 seconds. There was essentially no incorporation of oxygen-18 into the  $\text{CO}_2$ . This amount of substrate is 200 times more than was used in previously reported

Table 2 Source of oxygens in CO<sub>2</sub> produced from the chemiluminescent oxidation of Renilla luciferin.

<u>Conditions</u>	<u>Light Emission</u>	<u>Oxygens Incorporated in CO<sub>2</sub></u>
0.05 $\mu$ moles luciferin + $^{18}\text{O}_2$ (94.2%)	+	< 0.2
3 $\mu$ moles luciferin + $^{18}\text{O}_2$ (94.2%)	+	< 0.20
3 $\mu$ moles luciferin + $^{18}\text{O}_2$ (94.2%)	+	< 0.20
3 $\mu$ moles luciferin + H <sub>2</sub> $^{18}\text{O}$ (40%)	+	1
2.9 $\mu$ moles luciferin + H <sub>2</sub> $^{18}\text{O}$ (40%)	+	1

Experimental details are given in Materials and Methods.

experiments. In the presence of H<sub>2</sub> $^{18}\text{O}$ , 0.65 atoms of oxygen were incorporated. Since the quantum yield of the chemiluminescent reaction is about 0.28 this is consistent with the water incorporation arising via a light producing pathway.

The bioluminescent reaction was also repeated with 60 nmoles of luciferin and 130 nmoles of luciferase. There was no incorporation of molecular oxygen into the CO<sub>2</sub>.

Table II shows the results obtained with Renilla luciferin during chemiluminescence. There is less than 0.20 oxygen atoms incorporated from molecular oxygen into CO<sub>2</sub>. This is true whether 0.05 or 3  $\mu$ moles of luciferin are used. Over this same luciferin concentration range, there is incorporation of one oxygen into CO<sub>2</sub> when H<sub>2</sub> $^{18}\text{O}$  is added to the system. This is in contrast to the data obtained with the enzyme catalyzed reaction in which 2 oxygens were incorporated into the CO<sub>2</sub> from H<sub>2</sub> $^{18}\text{O}$ . The second oxygen was attributed to exchange of the ketone with water during the long reaction time (40 minutes).

In the chemiluminescent reaction the time was much shorter, 30 seconds, and presumably no exchange occurred with the ketone.

In all of the bioluminescence and chemiluminescence experiments which have been done with the firefly and Renilla systems over a concentration range of 0.03  $\mu$ moles to 6  $\mu$ moles, we have never observed any significant incorporation of molecular oxygen-18 into CO<sub>2</sub>.

The experiments reported here do not support the mechanism earlier proposed (12,13) for the efficient generation of excited state singlet molecules during luminescent reactions. To achieve a clear understanding of the oxidative mechanism involved in these processes, further work is needed. A mechanism is required which provides a theoretical explanation for the generation of the excited state and which also is consistent with the  $^{18}\text{O}_2 + \text{H}_2\ ^{18}\text{O}$  labelling patterns.

#### References

1. Stone, H., Biochem. Biophys. Res. Comm. 31, 386-391 (1968).
2. Plant, P.J., White, E.H., and McElroy, W.D., Biochem. Biophys. Res. Comm. 31, 98-103 (1968).
3. DeLuca, M., Dempsey, M., Hori, K., Wampler, J.E., and Cormier, M.J. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S. 68, 1658-1660 (1971).
4. DeLuca, M., and Dempsey, M.E., Biochem. Biophys. Res. Comm. 40, 117-122 (1970).
5. DeLuca, M. and Dempsey, M.E., in Chemiluminescence and Bioluminescence Eds. M.J. Cormier, D.M. Hercules, and J. Lee, Plenum Press 1973. New York, N.Y. p. 345-355.
6. Shimomura, O. and Johnson, F.H., Biochem. Biophys. Res. Comm., 44, 340-346 (1971).
7. Shimomura, O., and Johnson, F.H., Biochem. Biophys. Res. Comm., 51, (1973) 558-563.

8. Shimomura, O. and Johnson, F.H., *Anal. Chem.* 64, 601-605 (1975).
9. Seto, S., Ozura, K., and Nishijama, Y., *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jap.* 36, 332-337 (1963).
10. Morton, R.H., Hopkins, T.A. and Seliger, H.H., *Biochemistry* 8, 1598-1607 (1969).
11. Hori, K., Wampler, J.E., Matthews, J.C. and Cormier, M.J., *Biochemistry* 12, 4463-4467 (1973).
12. McCapra, F., *J.C.S. Chem. Commun.*, 1968, 155 (1968).
13. Hopkins, T.A., Seliger, H.H., White, E.H., and Cass, M.W., *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, 89, 7148-7150 (1967).